

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION



School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

School Information

School Name: Ketterlinus Elementary School	District Name: St. Johns County School District
Principal: Kathy Tucker	Superintendent: Dr. Joseph Joyner
SAC Chair: Amanda Strange and Ashley Power	Date of School Board Approval:

Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

[School Grades Trend Data](#) (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

[Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test \(FCAT\)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data](#) (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

[High School Feedback Report](#)

[K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan](#)

Administrators

August 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
Principal	Kathy Tucker	Bachelors of Arts in Elementary Education; Master of Arts in Educational Leadership Certification: Elementary Education Gr. 1-6; Education and Supervision K-12; National Board Certification - Middle School Generalist	2.2 years	9 years	Ketterlinus received a school grade of "B" last year. Prior school received a letter grade of "A" four out of the five prior years.
Assistant Principal	James Roberts	Bachelor of Arts - Elementary Education Master's Degree - Education Leadership	0.9 months	15 years	Served as the District Coordinator for Title I and Federal Grants since 2010 and part time Assistant Principal for Ketterlinus Elementary School receiving a "B" rating for the 2011-2012 school years. From 2008 through 2010, served as an Assistant Principal at Liberty Pines K-8 School which was rated "A" each year.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

Instructional Coaches

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Instructional Literacy Coach	Taryn "Beth" Upchurch	Bachelors of Arts in Journalism and Elementary Education; Reading Endorsement	3 years	2.5 years	As both a classroom teacher and Instructional Literacy Coach at Ketterlinus for the past 3 years, Ketterlinus has received a "B" grade two out of the three years. An "A" grade for one of the three years.

Effective and Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date
1. Utilization of PATS System to post position	Confidential Secretary	upon posting
2. Careful review of posted applicants, the candidates that are interviewed are highly qualified.	Principal	after posting
3. Interview teams conduct interviews with a carefully selected set of questions from the Teacher Appraisal System. Candidates are scored from 1 to 5 on each question.	Interview Team	ongoing
4. We hire teachers who meet NCLB'S Highly Qualified requirements with the support of SJCS D.	Principal	ongoing

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
None	All staff will receive training on “high yield” strategies that are research based from district personnel and school staff – which include administration and instructional literacy coach. Training will be done throughout the year in whole group presentation and grade-level & subject specific areas. Instructional staff will participate in district cadres/cohorts.

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Total number of Instructional Staff	% of first-year teachers	% of teachers with 1-5 years of experience	% of teachers with 6-14 years of experience	% of teachers with 15+ years of experience	% of teachers with Advanced Degrees	% of teachers with an Effective rating or higher	% of Reading Endorsed Teachers	% of National Board Certified Teachers	% of ESOL Endorsed Teachers
36	0	7% (3)	42% (14)	51% (17)	68% (11)	100%	17% (6)	5.7% (2)	97% (34)

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP
Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Haley Blevins, 3 rd grade	TBA	1 st year teaching in St. Johns School District	The St Johns County School District has a Teacher - Mentor program. KES has daily ongoing planning meetings with Lead Teacher and mentees for instructional planning, data for assessment, and Curriculum mapping.
Heather Golz	TBA	1 st year teaching in St. Johns School District	The St Johns County School District has a Teacher - Mentor program. KES has daily ongoing planning meetings with Lead Teacher and mentees for instructional planning, data for assessment, and Curriculum mapping.

Additional Requirements

Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

<p>Title I, Part A Services are provided to ensure students requiring additional remediation are assisted through in-school and/or after-school program funded through our Title I (ARRA) funds. SAI funds are used to purchase school-wide intervention materials. Both Title I and Title II funds are provided for staff development needs.</p>
<p>Title I, Part C- Migrant The St Johns County School District Migrant Liaison provides services and support to students and parents. The liaison coordinates with Title I and other programs to ensure student needs are met.</p>
<p>Title I, Part D District receives funds to support the Educational Alternative Outreach program. Services are coordinated with district Dropout Prevention programs.</p>

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

<p>Title II District receives supplemental funds for improving basic education programs through the purchase of small equipment to supplement education programs. New technology in classrooms will increase the instructional strategies provided to students and new instructional software will enhance literacy and math skills of struggling students.</p>
<p>Title III</p>
<p>Title X- Homeless District Homeless Social Worker provides resources (clothing, school supplies, social services referrals) for students identified as homeless under the McKinney-Vento Act to eliminate barriers for a free and appropriate education.</p>
<p>Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI) Ketterlinus Elementary School used our SAI funds to purchase school-wide intervention web-based software, Read Naturally, and diagnostic reading kits, DRA.</p>
<p>Violence Prevention Programs The school offers a non-violence and anti-drug program to students that incorporates community service ,counseling, and Anti-bullying campaign-PBS</p>
<p>Nutrition Programs *Wellness' programs incorporated with our *The School's Registered Nurse will provide *Honor Rows Program sponsored by the Jacksonville Jaguar Foundation Provided to the students in 5th grade. The program provides instruction on balancing nutrition and exercise for a healthier future.</p>
<p>Housing Programs Housing Program/Homeless Student District Liaison and District Social Worker continue to provide support to our homeless families helping them to locate housing as well as working out transportation issues so that the children have continuity by being allowed to remain at our school regardless of zoned school.</p>
<p>Head Start n/a</p>
<p>Adult Education n/a</p>
<p>Career and Technical Education n/a</p>
<p>Job Training n/a</p>
<p>Other n/a</p>

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team
Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team. Principal Assistant Principal Guidance Counselor Instructional Literacy Coach School Psychologist Speech & Language Pathologist Student Services Personnel, on an as needed basis Selected ESE & General Education Teachers on as needed basis
Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts? The Leadership Team will focus meetings around one question: How do we develop and maintain a problem-solving system that will bring out the best in our school, our teachers, and in our students? The team meets once a week to engage in the following activities: Review universal screening data and link to instructional decisions; review progress monitoring data at the grade level and classroom level To identify students who are meeting/exceeding benchmarks, at moderate risk or at high risk for not meeting benchmarks or for acceleration. The leadership team monitors fidelity of the School Improvement Plan. Based on the above information, the team will identify professional development and resources. The team will also collaborate regularly, problem solve, share effective practices, evaluate implementation, make decisions, and practice new processes and skills. The team will also facilitate the process of building consensus, increasing infrastructure, and making decisions about implementation. Principal/Assistant Principal: Provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision-making, ensures that the school based team is implementing RTI, conducts assessment of RTI skills of school staff, ensures implementation of intervention support and documentation, ensures adequate professional development to support RTI implementation, and

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

communicates with parents regarding school-based RTI plans and activities.

Select General Education Teachers (Primary and Intermediate): Provides information about core instruction, participates in

Describe the role of the school-based RTL Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan.

Describe how the RTI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

student data collection, delivers Tier 1 instruction/intervention, collaborates with other staff to implement Tier 2 interventions, and integrates Tier 1 materials/instruction with Tier 2/3 activities.

Exceptional Student Education (ESE) Teachers: Participates in student data collection, integrates core instructional activities/materials into Tier 3 instruction, and collaborates with general education teachers through such activities as co-teaching.

Instructional Literacy Coach:

Develops, leads, and evaluates school core content standards/ programs; identifies and analyzes existing literature on scientifically based curriculum/behavior assessment and intervention approaches. Identifies systematic patterns of student need while working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies; assists with whole school screening programs that provide early intervening services for children to be considered “at risk;” assists in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; participates in the design and delivery of professional development; and provides support for assessment and implementation monitoring.

School Psychologist: Participates in collection, interpretation, and analysis of data; facilitates development of intervention plans; provides support for intervention fidelity and documentation; provides professional development and technical assistance for problem-solving activities including data collection, data analysis, intervention planning, and program evaluation; facilitates data-based decision making activities.

Speech Language Pathologist: Educates the team in the role language plays in curriculum, assessment, and instruction, as a basis for appropriate program design; assists in the selection of screening measures; and helps identify systemic patterns of student need with respect to language skills

Guidance Counselor/Student Services Personnel: Provides quality services and expertise on issues ranging from program design to assessment and intervention with individual students. In addition to providing interventions, school social workers continue to link child-serving community agencies to the school and families to support the child’s academic, emotional, behavioral and social success.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The RtI/MTSS Leadership Team met with the School Advisory Council (SAC) and principal to help develop the SIP. The team provided data on: Tier 1, 2, and 3 targets; academic and social/emotional areas that needed to be addressed; helped set clear expectations for instruction; facilitated the development of a curriculum maps for each grade-level. The RtI Leadership Team was actively involved in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Reading: Discovery Education (DE) Testing for Grades K-5; and Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT) for Grades 3-5

Math: Discovery Education (DE) Testing for Grades K-5; and Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT) Grades 3-5

Science: Discovery Education (DE) Testing for Grades 3-5; District Formative Assessments K-5

Writing: School-wide writing prompts for grades K-5

Behavior: discipline referrals/suspensions through eSchool Plus for Grades K-5

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

School plans for the implementation of Florida's Response to Instruction/Intervention model as defined by the Statewide RTI Implementation Plan. Professional development will be provided during teachers’ common planning time, faculty meetings and small sessions will occur throughout the year. The RtI team will also evaluate additional staff PD needs

August 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

during the weekly RtI Leadership Team meetings.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

RtI/MTSS interventionist will be funded through Title 1 funds. In addition, the leadership team designates responsibilities to implement school-wide MTSS/RtI initiatives that is shared through trainings with staff. SAI funds have been set aside to provide additional interventions after-school to support further interventions. Title 1 funds are used to support “Data & Dialog Days” in which grade-levels review RtI/MTSS plans and needs with administration and team. School has identified common intervention times in order for teachers & paraprofessionals to intervene. Established criteria for referral to RtI/MTSS has been created and shared through training with staff.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).
Our RtI team serves as our LLT.

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The LLT meets during our RtI core meetings, to review school & grade-level data; develop strategies and school-wide literacy initiatives. As we develop the LLT that information will be used in the development of the School Improvement Plan.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

To continue to support our school-wide reading and writing goals for the School Improvement Plan. Further develop “high yield strategies” with teachers and expand our leveled reading library.

Public School Choice

- **Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification**

Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the “Upload” page.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

****Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition***

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

The Florida Kindergarten Readiness Screener (FLKRS) is administered to assess the readiness of each child for kindergarten. The FLKRS includes a subset of the Early Childhood Observation System™ (ECHOS™) and the first two measures of the Florida Assessment for Instruction in Reading (FAIR) for kindergarten (Letter Naming Task/Phonemic Awareness Task & Listening Comprehension/Vocabulary Task) to gather information on a child's development in emergent literacy.

Data will be used to plan daily academic and social/emotional instruction for all students and for groups of students or individual students who may need intervention beyond core instruction. Core Kindergarten academic and behavioral instruction will include daily explicit instruction, modeling, guided practice and independent practice of all academic and/or social emotional skills identified by screening data.

Screening tools will be re-administered mid-year and at the end of the year in order to determine student learning gains in order to determine the need for changes to the instructional/intervention programs.

****Grades 6-12 Only*** Sec. 1003.413 (2)(b) F.S

For schools with grades 6-12, how does the school ensure that every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student?

****High Schools Only***

Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(2)(g), (2)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the [High School Feedback Report](#).

August 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP
PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS**

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Reading Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading.			1.1. High transient (mobility), economically disadvantaged, high social-emotional needs population, Concentrated group of emotional and behavior disabled, Changes in standards; time for grade-level planning/collaboration.	1A.1. KES will use Discovery Education Testing, Read Naturally, STAR Reading, Reading text book assessments, DRA, FKLRS, volunteers, RTI intervention, Curriculum Maps	1A.1. Instructional Literacy coach, classroom teachers, support facilitation teachers, RTI team	1A.1. tracking assessments, journals and data notebooks	1A.1. Discovery Education Testing, Probes, District Formative Assessment, DRA
Reading Goal #1A: To increase the percentage of students achieving proficiency (FACT Level 3) in reading	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u> 29 % (66)	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u> 32 %					
			1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.
			1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.			1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.
Reading Goal #1B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u> Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u> Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.
			1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 in reading.			2A.1. High transient (mobility), economically disadvantaged, high social-emotional needs population, Concentrated group of emotional and behavior disabled, Changes in standards; time for grade-level planning/collaboration.	2A.1. KES will use Discovery Education Testing, Read Naturally, STAR Reading, Reading text book assessments, DRA, FKLRS, volunteers, Rti intervention, Curriculum Maps	2A.1. Classroom teachers, Literacy Coach, RTI Team	2A.1. Tracking assessments, journals and data notebooks	2A.1. Discovery Education Testing, Probes, District Formative Assessment, DRA
Reading Goal #2A:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
To increase the percentage of students achieving above (FCAT Levels 4 and 5) in reading.	41%	42 %					
			2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.
			2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.
2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in reading.			2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.
Reading Goal #2B:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.
			2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3A. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading.			3A.1. High transient (mobility), economically disadvantaged, high social-emotional needs population, Concentrated group of emotional and behavior disabled, Changes in standards; time for grade-level planning/collaboration.	3A.1. KES will use Discovery Education Testing, Read Naturally, STAR Reading, Reading text book assessments, DRA, FKLRS, volunteers, RtI intervention, Curriculum Maps	3A.1. Literacy Coach, classroom teacher, students (data notebooks)	3A.1. Tracking assessments, journals and data notebooks	3A.1. Discovery Education Testing, DRA, FCAT, Probes, District Formative Assessments
Reading Goal #3A:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
To increase the percentage of students making learning gains in reading.	64 %.	67 %					
			3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.
			3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.
3B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading.			3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.
Reading Goal #3B:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.
			3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to “Guiding Questions,” identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.			4A.1. High transient (mobility), economically disadvantaged, high social-emotional needs population, Concentrated group of emotional and behavior disabled, Changes in standards; time for grade-level planning/collaboration.	4A.1. KES will use Discovery Education Testing, Read Naturally, STAR Reading, Reading text book assessments, DRA, FKLRS, volunteers, RtI intervention, Curriculum Maps	4A.1. Literacy Coach, classroom teacher, support facilitator, students (data notebooks)	4A.1. results/data, student ownership, tracking and charting data, data notebooks, journals	4A.1. Discovery Education Testing, DRA, FCAT, Probes, District Formative Assessments
Reading Goal #4:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
To increase the percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.	52 %	55%					
			4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.
			4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years			2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017				
5A. In six years school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Reading Goal #5A: N/A	Baseline data 2010-2011 n/a							N/A				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool					
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5B: <i>Pending state provided data</i>			5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.					
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</th> <th>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:</td> <td>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:						
2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*											
Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:											
			5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.					
			5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading.			5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.
Reading Goal #5C: <i>Pending state provided data</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.
			5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading.			5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.
Reading Goal #5D: <i>Pending state provided data</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.
			5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.		5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.
Reading Goal #5E: <i>Pending state provided data</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
	n/a	n/a				
			5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.
		5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.

Reading Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities						
Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Through Data and Dialogue / Data Notebooks	K-5	Literacy Coach, Principal, Assistant Principal	Instructional Staff	First, second, and third quarter	Meeting notes and changes in curriculum maps	Principal, Assistant Principal, Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)
High Yield Strategies	K-5	Literacy Coach,	All Teachers and Paraprofessionals	ongoing	iObservation	Principal, Assistant Principal & Instructional Literacy Coach
Daily Five	K-5	As chosen by PLC	Grades 3 and 4	Monthly	Grade Level Meeting Notes, Lesson Plans	Instructional Literacy Coach
Curriculum Mapping	K-5	District Language Arts Coordinator/Instructional Literacy Coach	Instructional Staff	Monthly	Curriculum Map	Principal, Assistant Principal & Instructional Literacy Coach

August 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

--	--	--	--	--	--	--

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.

Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Phonics for Reading	Student Materials	SAI and Title I	\$500.00
DRA	Diagnostic Assessments	Title I	\$2000.00
Guided Reading for Primary & Intermediate	Differentiated (Tier 1) intervention	Title 1	\$150.00

Subtotal: \$ 2650.00

Technology

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Data Review (DE Testing)	Early Release Wednesdays	None Required	None Required

Subtotal:

Professional Development

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Data and Dialog Days	Substitute for Teachers	SAC and school's internal funds	\$4000.00

Subtotal: \$4,000.00

Other

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:

Total:

End of Reading Goals

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP
Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

CELLA Goals		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition				
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking.		1.1. High transient (mobility), economically disadvantaged, high social-emotional needs population, Concentrated group of emotional and behavior disabled, Changes in standards; time for grade-level planning/collaboration.	1.1. KES will use Discovery Education Testing, Read Naturally, STAR Reading, Reading text book assessments, DRA, FKLRS, volunteers, RTI intervention, Curriculum Maps	1.1. Literacy Coach, classroom teacher, students (data notebooks)	1.1. Tracking assessments, journals and data notebooks	1.1. Discovery Education Testing, DRA, FCAT, Probes, District Formative Assessments
<u>CELLA Goal #1:</u>	<u>2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking:</u>					
To increase the percentage of CELLA students achieving proficiency (FCAT Level 3).	75 %.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Students read grade-level text in English in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring proficient in reading.		2.1. High transient (mobility), economically disadvantaged, high social-emotional needs population, Concentrated group of emotional and behavior disabled, Changes in standards; time for grade-level planning/collaboration.	2.1. KES will use Discovery Education Testing, Read Naturally, STAR Reading, Reading text book assessments, DRA, FKLRS, volunteers, RTI intervention, Curriculum Maps	2.1. Literacy Coach, classroom teacher, students (data notebooks)	2.1. Tracking assessments, journals and data notebooks	2.1. Discovery Education Testing, DRA, FCAT, Probes, District Formative Assessments
<u>CELLA Goal #2:</u>	<u>2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading:</u>					
To increase the percentage of CELLA students achieving above (FCAT Levels 4 and 5) in reading.	75%					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3. Students scoring proficient in writing.		2.1. High transient (mobility), economically disadvantaged, high social-emotional needs population, Concentrated group of emotional and behavior disabled, Changes in standards; time for grade-level planning/collaboration.	2.1. KES will use Discovery Education Testing, Read Naturally, STAR Reading, Reading text book assessments, DRA, FKLRs, volunteers, RtI intervention, Curriculum Maps	2.1. Literacy Coach, classroom teacher, students (data notebooks)	2.1. Tracking assessments, journals and data notebooks	2.1. Discovery Education Testing, DRA, FCAT, Probes, District Formative Assessments
<u>CELLA Goal #3:</u>	<u>2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing :</u>					
<i>To increase the number of CELLA students to be proficient in writing.</i>	75 %.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

CELLA Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.

Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:

Technology

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:

Professional Development

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:

Other

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:

Total:

End of CELLA Goals

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP
Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary Mathematics Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.			1A.1. High transient (mobility), economically disadvantaged, high social-emotional needs population, Concentrated group of emotional and behavior disabled, Changes in standards; time for grade-level planning/collaboration.	1A.1. DE Testing, Envision Assessments, STAR math, FASTT Math, FCAT explorer, Curriculum Maps	1A.1. Classroom teacher, students (data notebooks), RTI Team	1A.1. Tracking assessments, journals and data notebooks	1A.1. DE Testing, FCAT, Envision Assessments, Probes, Education City data
<u>Mathematics Goal</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
#1A:	31 %	34 %					
To increase the percentage of students achieving proficiency (FCAT Level 3) in mathematics.							
			1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.
			1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.			1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.
<u>Mathematics Goal</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
#1B:							
			1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.
			1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool									
2A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in mathematics.	2A.1. High transient (mobility), economically disadvantaged, high social-emotional needs population, Concentrated group of emotional and behavior disabled, Changes in standards; time for grade-level planning/collaboration.	2A.1. DE Testing, Envision Assessments, STAR math, FASTT Math, FCAT explorer, Curriculum Maps	2A.1. Classroom teacher, students (data notebooks), RTI team	2A.1. Tracking assessments, journals and data notebooks	2A.1. DE Testing, FCAT, Envision Assessments, Probes, Education City data									
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 15%;">Mathematics Goal #2A:</td> <td style="width: 15%;"><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></td> <td style="width: 15%;"><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">30 %</td> <td style="text-align: center;">31 %</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3" style="font-size: small;">To increase the percentage of students achieving above proficiency (FCAT Levels 4 and 5) in mathematics.</td> </tr> </table>	Mathematics Goal #2A:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>		30 %	31 %	To increase the percentage of students achieving above proficiency (FCAT Levels 4 and 5) in mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal #2A:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>												
	30 %	31 %												
To increase the percentage of students achieving above proficiency (FCAT Levels 4 and 5) in mathematics.														
	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.									
	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.									
2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.									
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 15%;">Mathematics Goal #2B:</td> <td style="width: 15%;"><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></td> <td style="width: 15%;"><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="font-size: small;"><i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></td> <td style="font-size: small;"><i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i></td> <td style="font-size: small;"><i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i></td> </tr> </table>	Mathematics Goal #2B:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>	<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>								
Mathematics Goal #2B:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>												
<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>												
	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.									
	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.									

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool						
3A. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.	3A.1. High transient (mobility), economically disadvantaged, high social-emotional needs population, Concentrated group of emotional and behavior disabled, Changes in standards; time for grade-level planning/collaboration.	3A.1. DE Testing, Envision Assessments, STAR math, FASTT Math, FCAT explorer, Curriculum Maps	3A.1. Classroom teacher, students (data notebooks), RTI Team, support facilitation teacher	3A.1. Tracking and charting data, data discussion, monitor implementation, data notebooks, journals	3A.1. DE Testing, Envision data, FCAT, probes, Education City Data						
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 15%;"><u>Mathematics Goal #3A:</u></td> <td style="width: 15%;"><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></td> <td style="width: 15%;"><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>To increase the percentage of students making learning gains in math.</td> <td style="text-align: center;">54 %</td> <td style="text-align: center;">57 %</td> </tr> </table>	<u>Mathematics Goal #3A:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>	To increase the percentage of students making learning gains in math.	54 %	57 %					
<u>Mathematics Goal #3A:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>									
To increase the percentage of students making learning gains in math.	54 %	57 %									
	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.						
	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.						
3B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.						
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 15%;"><u>Mathematics Goal #3B:</u></td> <td style="width: 15%;"><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></td> <td style="width: 15%;"><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i></td> </tr> </table>	<u>Mathematics Goal #3B:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>	<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
<u>Mathematics Goal #3B:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>									
<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>									
	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.						
	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.						

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool					
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.			4A.1. High transient (mobility), economically disadvantaged, high social-emotional needs population, Concentrated group of emotional and behavior disabled, Changes in standards; time for grade-level planning/collaboration.	4A.1. DE Testing, Envision Assessments, STAR math, FASTT Math, FCAT explorer, Curriculum Maps	4A.1. Classroom teacher, students (data notebooks), RTI Team, support facilitation teacher	4A.1. Tracking and charting data, data discussion, monitor implementation, data notebooks, journals	4A.1. DE Testing, Envision data, FCAT, probes, Education City Data					
Mathematics Goal #4: To increase the percentage of the lowest 25 % students making learning gains in mathematics.	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u> 44 %	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u> 47 %										
								4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.
								4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years		2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017														
5A. In six years school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Mathematics Goal #5A: <i>To decrease the number of students that are not making grade-level achievement (level 3) on standardized test (FCAT).</i>	Baseline data 2010-2011 <u>23%</u>						12%														
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool															
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5B: Pending state data	Pending state data	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.															
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</th> <th>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i></td> <td><i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td>White:</td> <td>White:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Black:</td> <td>Black:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Hispanic:</td> <td>Hispanic:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Asian:</td> <td>Asian:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>American Indian:</td> <td>American Indian:</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>	White:	White:	Black:	Black:	Hispanic:	Hispanic:	Asian:	Asian:	American Indian:	American Indian:	Pending state data					
	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*																			
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>																			
White:	White:																				
Black:	Black:																				
Hispanic:	Hispanic:																				
Asian:	Asian:																				
American Indian:	American Indian:																				
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.															
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.															

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.			5C.1. Pending state data	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.
Mathematics Goal #5C: <i>Pending state data</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.
			5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.			5D.1. Pending state data	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.
Mathematics Goal #5D: <i>Pending state data</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.
			5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.			5E.1. Pending state data	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.
Mathematics Goal #5E: <i>Pending state data</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.
			5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP
Middle School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Middle School Mathematics Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to “Guiding Questions,” identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.			1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.
<u>Mathematics Goal</u> #1A:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
<i>N/A</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.
			1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.			1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.
<u>Mathematics Goal</u> #1B:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.
			1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool									
2A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in mathematics.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.									
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 15%;">Mathematics Goal #2A:</td> <td style="width: 15%;"><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></td> <td style="width: 15%;"><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="font-size: small;"><i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></td> <td style="font-size: small;"><i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i></td> <td style="font-size: small;"><i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i></td> </tr> <tr style="background-color: #e0e0e0;"> <td colspan="3" style="height: 40px;"></td> </tr> </table>	Mathematics Goal #2A:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>	<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>				2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.
	Mathematics Goal #2A:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>											
	<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>											
2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.									
2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.									
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 15%;">Mathematics Goal #2B:</td> <td style="width: 15%;"><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></td> <td style="width: 15%;"><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="font-size: small;"><i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></td> <td style="font-size: small;"><i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i></td> <td style="font-size: small;"><i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i></td> </tr> <tr style="background-color: #e0e0e0;"> <td colspan="3" style="height: 40px;"></td> </tr> </table>	Mathematics Goal #2B:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>	<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>				2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.
	Mathematics Goal #2B:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>											
	<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>											
2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.									

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool						
3A. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.						
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 15%;">Mathematics Goal #3A:</td> <td style="width: 15%;"><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></td> <td style="width: 15%;"><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;"><i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></td> <td style="vertical-align: top;"><i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i></td> <td style="vertical-align: top;"><i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i></td> </tr> </table>	Mathematics Goal #3A:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>	<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.
Mathematics Goal #3A:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>									
<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>									
	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.						
3B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.						
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 15%;">Mathematics Goal #3B:</td> <td style="width: 15%;"><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></td> <td style="width: 15%;"><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;"><i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></td> <td style="vertical-align: top;"><i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i></td> <td style="vertical-align: top;"><i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i></td> </tr> </table>	Mathematics Goal #3B:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>	<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.
Mathematics Goal #3B:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>									
<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>									
	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.						

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.			4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.
Mathematics Goal #4: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.
			4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years			2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
5A. In six years, school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Baseline data 2010-2011 23 %							
	Mathematics Goal #5A: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.			5B.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	
Mathematics Goal #5B: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i> White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i> White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:						
			5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
			5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.			5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.
Mathematics Goal #5C: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.
			5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.			5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.
Mathematics Goal #5D: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.
			5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.			5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.
Mathematics Goal #5E: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.
			5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP
Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

High School Mathematics Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to “Guiding Questions,” identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Mathematics Goal #1: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to “Guiding Questions,” identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.			2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.
Mathematics Goal #2: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
			2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.			3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.
Mathematics Goal #3: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u> <i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u> <i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.
			3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

Algebra 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals *(this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Algebra I EOC)*

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Algebra 1 EOC Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to “Guiding Questions,” identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra 1.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Algebra 1 Goal #1: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to “Guiding Questions,” identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Algebra 1.			2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.
Algebra Goal #2: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
			2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years			2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
3A. In six years, school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Baseline data 2010-2011							
	Algebra 1 Goal #3A: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.			3B.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	
Algebra 1 Goal #3B: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i> White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i> White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:						
			3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
			3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.			3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.
Algebra 1 Goal #3C: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.
			3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.			3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.
Algebra 1 Goal #3D: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.
			3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.			3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.
Algebra 1 Goal #3E: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.
			3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.

End of Algebra 1 EOC Goals

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

Geometry End-of-Course Goals *(this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Geometry EOC)*

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Geometry EOC Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to “Guiding Questions,” identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Geometry Goal #1: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to “Guiding Questions,” identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Geometry.			2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.
Geometry Goal #2: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
			2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years		2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017														
3A. In six years, school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Geometry Goal #3A: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	Baseline data 2011-2012																			
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool														
3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry. Geometry Goal #3B: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>		3B.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.														
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</th> <th>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i></td> <td><i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td>White:</td> <td>White:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Black:</td> <td>Black:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Hispanic:</td> <td>Hispanic:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Asian:</td> <td>Asian:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>American Indian:</td> <td>American Indian:</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>	White:	White:	Black:	Black:	Hispanic:	Hispanic:	Asian:	Asian:	American Indian:	American Indian:	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.
2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*																			
<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>																			
White:	White:																			
Black:	Black:																			
Hispanic:	Hispanic:																			
Asian:	Asian:																			
American Indian:	American Indian:																			
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.														

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.			3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.
Geometry Goal #3C: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.
			3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.			3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.
Geometry Goal #3D: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.
			3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.		3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.
Geometry Goal #3E: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>				
			3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.
			3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Mathematics Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Math Vertical Teams	K-5	SAC chairs, Administration	Instructional Staff	Every 6-8 Weeks	Meeting notes, SIP plan	Administration and SAC chairs
Data and Dialogue/ Data Notebooks	K-5	Literacy Coach, Principal, Assistant Principal	Instructional Staff	First, Second and Third Quarter	Meeting notes, changes in curriculum maps	Administration, SAC Chairs, Instructional Literacy Coach
Curriculum Maps and Pacing Guides	K-5	Administration, Grade Level Chairs	Instructional Staff	Beginning of each quarter and/or unit	Pacing calendars, curriculum maps	Administration, SAC chairs, Instructional Literacy Coach
Math/Science Lab	K-5	Administration, Staff	Instructional Staff	Ongoing	SIP plan	Administration, SAC
High Yield Strategies	k-5	Literacy Coach	All Teachers and Paraprofessionals	ongoing	iObservation	Principal, Assistant Principal & Instructional Literacy Coach

August 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP
Mathematics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
CAMS & STAMS/Curriculum Associates	Direct Instruction materials for intervention & diagnostic assessments	SAI funds	\$500.00
Math Lab	Math Manipulative	Title I/Internal Funds	\$1,500.00
			Subtotal: \$2,000
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Data and Dialog Days	Substitutes for teachers	SAC and School's internal Funds	\$4000.00
District Math Cadres	District math coordinator	n/a	
			Subtotal: \$ 4,000.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of Mathematics Goals

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP
Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary and Middle Science Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science.			1A.1. High transient (mobility), economically disadvantaged, high social-emotional needs population, Concentrated group of emotional and behavior disabled	1A.1. KES will use DE Testing, National Geographic Assessments, Curriculum Maps, Data Notebooks to monitor student progress	1A.1. Classroom teacher, students (data notebooks), RTI Team, support facilitation teacher	1A.1. Tracking and charting data, data discussion, monitor implementation, data notebooks, journals	1A.1. DE Testing, FCAT, probes, district formative assessments, National Geographic Assessments
Science Goal #1A:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
To increase the percentage of students achieving proficiency (FCAT Level 3) in science.	35 %	5 %					
			1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.
			1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.			1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.
Science Goal #1B:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.
			1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in science.			2A.1. High transient (mobility), economically disadvantaged, high social-emotional needs population, Concentrated group of emotional and behavior disabled	2A.1. KES will use DE Testing, National Geographic Assessments, Curriculum Maps, Data Notebooks to monitor student progress	2A.1. Classroom teacher, students (data notebooks), RTI Team, support facilitation teacher	2A.1. Tracking and charting data, data discussion, monitor implementation, data notebooks, journals	2A.1. DE Testing, FCAT, probes, district formative assessments, National Geographic Assessments
Science Goal #2A:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
To increase the percentage of students achieving above proficiency (FCAT Levels 4 and 5) in science.	11 %	12%					
			2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.
			2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.
2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.			2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.
Science Goal #2B:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.
			2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP
Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

High School Science Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to “Guiding Questions,” identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Science Goal #1: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.			2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.
Science Goal #2: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
			2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

Biology 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals *(this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Biology I EOC)*

August 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Biology 1 EOC Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Biology 1.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Biology 1 Goal #1: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Biology 1.			2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.
Biology 1 Goal #2: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
			2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

End of Biology 1 EOC Goals

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP
Science Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
SVT (Science Vertical Teams	K-5	SAC and administration	Instructional Staff	Every 6-8 Weeks	Meeting notes and SIP plan	Administration, SAC chairs
Data and Dialogue/Data Notebooks	K-5	Literacy Coach, Principal, AP	Instructional Staff	First, Second, and Third Quarter	Meeting notes, changes in curriculum maps	Administration, SAC chairs
District Cadre	3-5	Mark Lewis	3-5 Instructional Staff, Literacy Coach	Quarterly	Meeting notes, lesson plans, curriculum maps	RTI, District Coordinator
High Yield Strategies	K-5	Literacy Coach,	All Teachers and Paraprofessionals	ongoing	iObservation	Principal, Assistant Principal & Instructional Literacy Coach

Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Math and Science Lab	Create a hands-on lab for students to interact with science and math concepts	SAC	\$1,500.00
			Subtotal: \$ 1,500.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Data and Dialog Days	Substitutes for teachers	Title 1 & SAC	\$4000.00
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
District National Geographic Training	Workshop	N/A	None

**August 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011**

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Science Goals

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Writing Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to “Guiding Questions,” identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1A. FCAT: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing.			1A.1. High transient (mobility), economically disadvantaged, high social-emotional needs population, Concentrated group of emotional and behavior disabled	1A.1.KES will use school wide prompts, curriculum maps to monitor progress.	1A.1. Classroom teacher, students (data notebooks), RTI Team, support facilitation teacher	1A.1.Prompts, tracking data, monitor implementation	1A.1.District Formative Assessments, Writing Portfolio, FCAT, Prompts
<u>Writing Goal #1A:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
To increase the percentage of students achieving Level 4.0 and higher in writing.	68 %(48)	71 %					
			1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.
			1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.			1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.
<u>Writing Goal #1B:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.
			1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP
Writing Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Data and Dialogue/Journals	K-5	Administration , Literacy Coach	Instructional Staff	First, Second, and Third Quarter	Meeting notes, changes in curriculum maps	Administration/SAC Chairs
Training for Writing Standards & Rubrics	K-5	Administration Instructional Literacy Coach	Instructional Staff	Early Release Schedule, In-service Days	Meeting notes, lesson plans, Curriculum Maps	Administration & Instructional Literacy Coach
Writing Vertical Team	K-5	SAC Teachers	Instructional Staff	Early Release schedule	Meeting notes, lesson plans, curriculum maps	Administration
High Yield Strategies	K-5	Literacy Coach,	All Teachers and Paraprofessionals	ongoing	Iobservation	Principal, Assistant Principal

Writing Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Data and Dialog Days	Substitutes for Teachers	Title 1 & SAC	\$4000.00
			Subtotal: \$ 4,000.00

August 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of Writing Goals

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP
Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals *(required in year 2014-2015)*

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Civics EOC Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Civics.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Civics Goal #1: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Civics.			2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.
Civics Goal #2: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
			2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP
Civics Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Civics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of Civics Goals

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals *(required in year 2013-2014)*

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

U.S. History EOC Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
U.S. History Goal #1: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in U.S. History.			2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.
U.S. History Goal #2: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
			2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

U.S. History Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

U.S. History Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of U.S. History Goals

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Attendance Goal(s)			Problem-solving Process to Increase Attendance				
Based on the analysis of attendance data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Attendance			1.1. High transient (mobility), low parental involvement, lack of awareness, out of zone travel time, high social-emotional needs population, Concentrated group of emotional and behavior disabled	1.1.KES will use policy and procedure in student/parent handbook, warning letters sent home to parents, truancy law enforcement involvement, RTI meetings quarterly	1.1.Administration, Attendance officer, computer operator, RTI team with district student services representative	1.1. The actual data/number of absences showing the reduction of school wide absences and individual student absences.	1.1.Actual attendance record
Attendance Goal #1: <i>Continue to decrease school wide absences and to limit the number of students with excessive absences</i>	<u>2012 Current Attendance Rate:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Attendance Rate:*</u>					
	95 %	97%					
	<u>2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)</u>	<u>2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)</u>					
	159 students	75 students					
	<u>2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)</u>	<u>2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)</u>					
83students	50 students						
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP
Attendance Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Faculty and Staff training on attendance for students	Staff	RTI Team	Staff	Monthly Faculty Meeting	Attendance Records	RTI Team and Computer Operator
Parent Education regarding attendance policy	Parents of all students	RTI Team, Truancy officer, District Services	Parents	Quarterly	Attendance Records	Computer Operator
Student/Parent Recognition	Parents and Students (K-5)	Administration and Staff	School Wide	Weekly	Weekly attendance in records, Recognition in Dolphin Digest	Computer Operator and Administration

Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

**August 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011**

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

Recognition of Students and Classes	Certificates, weekly Dolphin Digest recognition & morning announcements	None needed	none
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of Attendance Goals

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Suspension Goal(s)			Problem-solving Process to Decrease Suspension				
Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to “Guiding Questions,” identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Suspension			1.1.	1.1. RtI plan	1.1. RtI Team	1.1. Referral records	1.1.
Suspension Goal #1: To develop a system to successfully limit and accurately track In-School and Out-of-School Suspensions.	2012 Total Number of In-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions	Parental/guardian involvement and support	Improved communication between home and school	Guidance Counselor District Behaviorist	1.2.eSchool Plus records	1.1. Staff and parent Needs Assessment eSchool Plus data
	1	0	Communication between student, parent/guardian and school	Use of an improved system when tracking referrals	Mental Health Counselor		
	2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In-School	Emotional/Behavioral Handicapped Population	School Wide Behavior System Round Table Behavior Discussion			
	1	0					
	2012 Total Number of Out-of-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions					
	33	10					
2012 Total Number of Students Suspended Out- of- School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School						
18	5						
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.		1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.		1.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP
Suspension Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Round Table Discussion with Behavior Specialists	Open to K-5	Administration or RtI Team	Open to K-5	Early Release Wednesdays	OSS Incident Reports	RtI Team, Administration District Behaviorist
Cappuccino Mondays (Parenting Classes)	Open to K-5	Guidance Counselor	Parents	Mondays Quarterly	Discipline referrals	RtI Team, Administration
Common Language/School Wide Expectations	Faculty and Staff	Administration Faculty/Staff	Faculty, Staff, Students	Monthly	Discipline referrals	SAC chair & administration
Crisis Management Team	K-5	ESE Teacher	Crisis Management Team Members (PCM certified)	Monthly	Monthly notes	Administration, ESE Team Leader and District Behaviorist

Suspension Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Behavior			

August 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Suspension Goals

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)			Problem-solving Process to Dropout Prevention				
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Dropout Prevention			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Dropout Prevention Goal #1: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i> <i>*Please refer to the percentage of students who dropped out during the 2011-2012 school year.</i>	2012 Current Dropout Rate:*	2013 Expected Dropout Rate:*					
	<i>Enter numerical data for dropout rate in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected dropout rate in this box.</i>					
	2012 Current Graduation Rate:*	2013 Expected Graduation Rate:*					
	<i>Enter numerical data for graduation rate in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected graduation rate in this box.</i>					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Dropout Prevention Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP
Dropout Prevention Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section.

Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Parent Involvement Goal(s)			Problem-solving Process to Parent Involvement				
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Parent Involvement			1.1. Transient Population	1.1. Connect families to district and community resources to provide a stable and long term environment Provide childcare and food for evening or morning events	1.1. Guidance Counselor District Homeless Liaison Administrators SAC Parent Liaison	1.1. Fall and Spring SAC survey and FCAT scores	1.1. Fall and Spring SAC survey and FCAT scores Accreditation Survey
<u>Parent Involvement Goal #1:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement.*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement.*</u>					
<i>Increase parent involvement to increase student achievement.</i>	90%	93%					
<i>*Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.</i>			1.2. Both parents working	1.2. Provide flexible and "working family" hours for school meetings, parenting classes and conferences	1.2. Administrators SAC and PTO	1.2. Fall and Spring SAC survey and FCAT scores	1.2. Fall and Spring SAC survey and FCAT scores Accreditation Survey
			1.3. Increase volunteer opportunities for parents	1.3. Promote volunteer opportunities through newsletter, email and "alert now" messages. Training for specific needs will be provided by Literacy Coach.	1.3. Literacy Coach Guidance Counselor Administration Parent Liaison	1.3. Fall and Spring SAC survey and FCAT scores	1.3. Fall and Spring SAC survey and FCAT scores Accreditation Survey

Parent Involvement Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Volunteer Training	K-5	Parent Liaison, Guidance Counselor	School Staff	1 st Quarter Faculty Meeting	Documentation of Volunteer Hours	Volunteer coordinator Literacy Coach
Cappuccino Mondays (parenting classes)	Parents of K-5	Guidance Counselor	Parents	3 times a year	Parents sign in	Guidance Counselor

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP
Parent Involvement Budget**

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Ice Cream Social for new families & students; Grade – level forums; School-based training for parents on volunteering ; Families Building Better Readers/Book Fair; early release Wednesdays for parent conferences Child care for PTO & SAC meetings	Food	School internal accounts	\$200.00 (Ice Cream Social)
	Supplies for FBBR – materials for parents to create reading materials for their child (index cards, pencils, crayons, worksheets...) Food	Title 1 funds	\$500.00 (FBBR)
	YMCA & school based staff	SAC funds	\$500.00
			Subtotal: \$ 250.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP
Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:					
STEM Goal #1: <i>To increase STEM literacy for all students.</i>	1.1. High transient (mobility), low parental involvement, lack of awareness, out of zone travel time, high social-emotional needs population, Concentrated group of ESE students in Gr. 4 & 5	1.1. KES will use DE Testing, National Geographic Assessments, Curriculum Maps, Data Notebooks to monitor student progress	1.1. Grade Chair, SVT (Science Vertical Team) and administration	1.1. SAC needs assessment from staff and parents Participation in STEM fair.	1.1. Participation in STEM Fair and FCAT & DE Testing scores in Science
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

STEM Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
District Training on STEM FAIR	3-5	District Science Coordinator	All 3-5 teachers & SVT Science Vertical Team	Monthly Grade-level meetings	Grade-level & SVT minutes Participation in 1 st STEM Fair	District Science Coordinator & SVT (Science Vertical Team)

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP
STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)**

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of STEM Goal(s)

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP
Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
CTE Goal #1: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

CTE Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
<small>Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.</small>						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

CTE Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of CTE Goal(s)

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

Additional Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Additional Goal Character Counts! Education			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Additional Goal #1: <i>To increase the Character Counts! Literacy with all students, staff and parents</i>	<u>2012 Current Level :*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level :*</u>	High transient (mobility)	Monthly CC! Celebration Monthly Guidance Corner in Dolphin Digest Newsletter CC! Lesson plans that align with the pillar of the month. Daily morning message – Words of Wisdom	Guidance Counselor Administration	SAC needs assessment Monthly grade-chair meetings	SAC needs assessment
	100%	100%					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP
Additional Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of Additional Goal(s)

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP

Final Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	Total:
CELLA Budget	Total:
Mathematics Budget	Total:
Science Budget	Total:
Writing Budget	Total:
Civics Budget	Total:
U.S. History Budget	Total:
Attendance Budget	Total:
Suspension Budget	Total:
Dropout Prevention Budget	Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	Total:
STEM Budget	Total:
CTE Budget	Total:
Additional Goals	Total:
	Grand Total:

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP
Differentiated Accountability - N/A

School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under "Default value" header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an "x" in the box.)

School Differentiated Accountability Status		
<input type="checkbox"/> Priority	<input type="checkbox"/> Focus	<input type="checkbox"/> Prevent

Are you reward school? Yes No

(A reward school is any school that has improved their letter grade from the previous year or any A graded school.)

- Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the *Upload* page

School Advisory Council (SAC)

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting *Yes* or *No* below.

Yes No

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.

Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.
Focus on subgroups that did not make adequate growth in reading and math. Also, SAC will focus on improving student achievement in Science.

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount
Science and Math lab	\$500 - \$1000
Data and Dialogue Days for Staff to analyze progress monitoring scores in reading, math, writing and science. Review Curriculum Maps and CCSS.	\$1000 - \$1,500